Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46381 R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop RN102320231

Docket No. 2013-0478-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Hardy Stop, 1303 Hopper Road, Houston, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: Yes, Docket No. 2013-0459-PWS-E

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: August 16, 2013

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$8,088

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,617 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$2,111 Total Due to General Revenue: \$4,360

Payment Plan: 2 payment of \$2,180 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46381 R.A.R, BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop RN102320231 Docket No. 2013-0478-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A **Complaint Information**: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: October 26, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): February 19, 2013

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 2. Failed to report a suspected release of regulated substance to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, statistical inventory reconciliation ("SIR") records for September 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72].
- 3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery. Specifically, SIR records for September 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent conducted an investigation of the suspected release and implemented appropriate corrective measures on November 14, 2012.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, establish and implement a process for reporting and investigating a suspected release;
- b. Within 30 days, implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility; and
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance with Ordering Provisions a. and b.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46381 R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop RN102320231 Docket No. 2013-0478-PST-E

Hearing Date(s): N/A **Settlement Date:** N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: John Fennell, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-2616; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Rahemtal Momin, Owner, Hardy Stop, 1303 Hopper Road, Houston,

Texas 77093

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) Assigned 25-Feb-2013 PCW 28-Feb-2013 Screening 28-Feb-2013 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102320231 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 12-Houston **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 46381 No. of Violations 3 Docket No. 2013-0478-PST-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator John Fennell EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Maximum \$25,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$8,750 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$175 **Compliance History** 2.0% Enhancement Enhancement for one dissimilar NOV. Notes Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Subtotal 5 \$937 Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance \$6,600 \$7,988 **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** Final Subtotal \$100 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 1.3% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance Notes associated with violation no. 2. Final Penalty Amount \$8,088 \$8,088 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty -\$1,617 **DEFERRAL** 20.0% Reduction Adjustment Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g.

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$6,471

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Screening Date 28-Feb-2013

Docket No. 2013-0478-PST-E

Respondent R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop

Case ID No. 46381

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102320231

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator John Fennell

	Number of Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in		Adjust 0%
NOVs	the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)		
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	. No	0%
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
eat Violator (Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
pliance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
pliance Histo	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one dissimilar NOV.		

Screening Da		PCW Ty Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID N Reg. Ent. Reference N	lo. 46381 lo. RN102320231 te] Petroleum Storage Tank tor John Fennell	PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Rule Cite		(1)
Violation Descript	Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between ea monitoring).	
	Base Pe	nalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proj	perty and Human Health Matrix Harm	
Relea OR Act		
Poten		
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Tulsmea	Percent 0.0%	
	alth or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed e protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation	
	Adjustment \$2	1,250
		\$3,750
Violation Events		
Number	of Violation Events 1 9 Number of violation days	
mark only o with an x	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	nalty \$3,750
One month	nly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the Febr 19, 2013 record review to the February 28, 2013 screening date.	ruary
Good Faith Efforts to Co		\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
	Ordinary (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
	Violation Sub	total \$3,750
Economic Benefit (EB) f	or this violation Statutory Limit Tes	
Estin	nated EB Amount \$77 Violation Final Penalty	Total \$3,873
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	mits) \$3,873

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop Case ID No. 46381 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102320231 Percent Interest Depreciation Media Petroleum Storage Tank Violation No. 1 5.0 15 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs EB Amount Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Equipment 0.00 \$0 Buildings \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) \$0 Engineering/construction 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00 n/a \$0 Land Record Keeping System 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Other (as needed) 26-Oct-2012 5-Nov-2013 \$77 Estimated cost to monitor the USTs for releases. The date required is the investigation date. The final date Notes for DELAYED costs is the estimated date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** 0.00 Disposal \$0 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.00 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling <u>\$0</u> \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 Supplies/equipment <u>\$0</u> \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) \$0 Notes for AVOIDED costs TOTAL \$77 \$1,500 Approx. Cost of Compliance

Screening Date		ST-E PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop	Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		PCW Revision August 3, 2011
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number	2	
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72	
Violation Description	Failed to report a suspected release of regulated substance to the hours of discovery. Specifically, statistical inventory reconciliation (for September 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not	("SIR") records
		Base Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental. Prope	ty and Human Health Matrix	The second secon
Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual	Hajor Hoderace Filmor	
Potential	Percent	0.0%
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
		5.0%
Matrix	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes	20070 01 010 1010 1010 1010 1010 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100	
	Adjustment	\$23,750
		\$1,250
 		Control of the Contro
Violation Events		
Number of '	/iolation Events 1 9 Number of viol	ation days
	daily weekly	
	monthly	
mark only one with an x		Base Penalty \$1,250
	semiannual annual	
	single event x	
	Charge and the state of the sta	<u> </u>
	One single event is recommended.	
	One single event is recommended.	

Good Faith Efforts to Com	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$0
	Extraordinary Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
	N/A x (mark with x)	
	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria this violation.	a for
		ation Subtotal \$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB) for		
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$100 Violation Final	Penalty Total \$1,291
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjus	sted for limits) \$1,291

Violation No.	/	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
	•					5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	10-			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0 *0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal	حدد والمنظم والماط	<u> </u>		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	1						
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterir	ng item (except i	for one-time avoid	
	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before (0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before (0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Dection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANNUAL:	IZE [1] avoided	costs before of 2-Oct-2012	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		Docket No. 2013-0478-PST-E	PCW
Respondent Case ID No	R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy	Stop	Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			rew nevision ragast 3, 2011
	Petroleum Storage Tank		
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number			1
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex	x. Admin. Code § 334.74	
Violation Description	discovery. Specifically, SIR record	d release of regulated substance within 3 ds for September 2012 indicate a suspec t was not investigated.	
	L.	Bas	se Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	rty and Human Health Mai	trix	
Release	Harm • Major Moderate N	Minor	
OR Actua			7
Potentia	ı x	Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Moderate N	Minor	
		Percent 0.0%	
		pe exposed to pollutants which would exconmental receptors as a result of the vio	
wotes that are j	Note care of name in the act of civil	of the trade of the trade of the tra	
indele esserti di il della compania di il della compania di il della compania di il della compania di il della Compania di il della compania di il della compania di il della compania di il della compania di il della compa		Adjustment	\$21,250
		Aujustinem	
			\$3,750
Violation Events		Section Association	
	Violation Events 1	14 Number of violation	days
Number of	Violation Events 1	T4 Mambel of Volucion	aayo
	daily		
	weekly		
mark only one	monthly x quarterly	Violation Bas	se Penalty \$3,750
with an x	semiannual		
	annual		
	single event		
One menthly	event is recommended from the re	lease investigation due date of October 3	1 2012 to
One monary	the November 14,	2012 compliance date.	1, 2012 10
<u>L</u>			
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 25.0% Redu	uction	\$937
	(reserved to the second	to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary		
	Ordinary x (mari	k with x)	
		came into compliance on November 14,	
		Notice of Enforcement dated February 19, 2013.	
		Violation	Subtotal \$2,813
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	t Test
Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$10 Violation Final Pen	alty Total \$2,924
	This violatio	on Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted 1	for limits) \$2,924
	11113 VIOLUTE		,

Case ID No. eg. Ent. Reference No.	46381	ESS INC dba Hard	dy Stop				
eg. Ent. Reference No.							
- Article Control of the Control of							
	RN102320231						
Media	Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation ito.	•		44.65.000.009			5.0	1
						Sugar-egge-service stranger grown are re-server server and described the server	Apoglogican (CA) Sent to a financial processor and the contract of the
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$			Marian			
Delayed Costs							
Equipment		T		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000	31-Oct-2012	14-Nov-2012	0.04	\$10	n/a	\$10
	Estimated co	st to investigate a	suspected relea	ise. Th	e date required is	the date the release	
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		Wa	s due. The final	nterin 0.00 0.00	the date of comp ig item (except \$0 \$0	the date the release liance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		Wa	s due. The final	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	the date of comp ig item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	the date the release liance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		Wa	s due. The final	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	the date of comp ig item (except 1) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	the date the release liance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		Wa	s due. The final	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	the date of comp ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	the date the release liance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		Wa	s due. The final	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	the date of comp ig item (except 1) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	the date the release liance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN603841412, RN102320231, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent Owner/Operator:	, or CN603841412, R.A.R. BUSINESS INC	Classification: SATISFACTO	ORY Rating): 0.80
Regulated Entity:	RN102320231, HARDY STOP	Classification: SATISFACTO	ORY Rating): 0.80
Complexity Points:	5	Repeat Violator: NO		
CH Group:	14 - Other			
Location:	1303 HOPPER RD HOUSTON, TX 7709	93-2323, HARRIS COUNTY		
TCEQ Region:	REGION 12 - HOUSTON			
ID Number(s): PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM/		ETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGIST	RATION REGISTRA	TION
PETROLEUM STORAGE TA	NK STAGE II REGISTRATION 4720	720		
Compliance History Pe	riod: September 01, 2007 to August 31,	2012 Rating Year: 2012	Rating Date:	09/01/2012
Date Compliance Histo	ry Report Prepared: March 20, 20	13		
Agency Decision Requi	ring Compliance History: Enforce	ement		
Component Period Sele	ected: March 20, 2008 to March 20, 20	13		
TCEQ Staff Member to	Contact for Additional Information	Regarding This Compliance His	tory.	
Name: John Fennell		Phone: (512) 239-2	616	
		Account to the second s		
Site and Owner/Ope	rator History:			
•	ence and/or operation for the full five year co change in ownership/operator of the site du		YES YES	
3) If YES for #2, who is the of 4) If YES for #2, who was/wo owner(s)/operator(s)?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	SINESS INC OWNER OPERATOR since of initial since of the control of		
5) If YES , when did the cha occur?	inge(s) in owner or operator 4/15/201:	1		
Components (Multim	edia) for the Site Are Listed in	Sections A - J		
A. Final Orders, court	judgments, and consent decrees:			
B. Criminal conviction	s:			
C. Chronic excessive on N/A	emissions events:			
D. The approval dates	of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Trac	k. No.):		
E. Written notices of v	violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track	. No.):		1.21.10

Page 1

Classification:

Moderate

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity.

A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

(983956)

04/27/2012

Self Report? NO

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.248(1)

Description: Failure to have a trained Stage II facility representative on site.

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
R.A.R. BUSINESS INC DBA	§	
HARDY STOP	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN102320231		

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2013-0478-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On __________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 1303 Hopper Road in Houston, Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's four underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 24, 2013.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand Eighty-Eight Dollars (\$8,088) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Thousand One Hundred Eleven Dollars

(\$2,111) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Six Hundred Seventeen Dollars (\$1,617) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Four Thousand Three Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$4,360) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in two monthly payments of Two Thousand One Hundred Eighty Dollars (\$2,180) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent conducted an investigation of the suspected release and implemented appropriate corrective measures on November 14, 2012.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 26, 2012 and a record review conducted on February 19, 2013.
- 2. Failed to report a suspected release of regulated substance to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72, as documented during an investigation conducted on October 26, 2012 and a record review conducted on February 19, 2013. Specifically, statistical inventory reconciliation ("SIR") records for September 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported.
- 3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74, as documented during a record review conducted on February 19, 2013. Specifically, SIR records for September 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop, Docket No. 2013-0478-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, establish and implement a process for reporting and investigating a suspected release, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72;
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and

c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director.

R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop DOCKET NO. 2013-0478-PST-E Page 5

The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	10/31/13 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of do agree to the terms and conditions specified the accepting payment for the penalty amount, is made	the entity indicated below my signature, and I nerein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
additional penalties, and/or attorney fees,Increased penalties in any future enforcen	may result in: as submitted; eneral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, , or to a collection agency; nent actions; ral's Office of any future enforcement actions; y law.
Signature	06.04.13 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of R.A.R. BUSINESS INC dba Hardy Stop	Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.